Serial No. 10/516,553 Response to Notice to Comply May 15, 2009 Page 3

REMARKS

In order to comply with 37 C.F.R. §1.821 to 1.825, a sequence listing in paper and computer readable format is being submitted herewith together with a Statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies of the sequence listing are identical and do not introduce new subject matter.

In the event that there are any questions concerning this amendment or the application in general, the Examiner is respectfully urged to telephone the undersigned so that prosecution of this application may be expedited.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark Polyakov Reg. No. 54,377

Wood, Phillips, Katz, Clark & Mortimer 500 West Madison Street Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60661

T: 312/876-1800 F: 312/876-2020

May 15, 2009

Enclosures: - Paper and computer readable format of the Sequence Listing

- Statement To Support Filings and Submissions



Notice of Abandonment	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/633,180	ERINC, JULIE C.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alissa L. Hoey	3765
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>15 March 2005</u>. A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. 		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).		
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).		
(d) 🔯 No reply has been received.		
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. 		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$		
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).		
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.		
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.		
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.		
7. The reason(s) below:		
	A	use L Hony Mary Examinex
	Pri	many Examinex
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra ninimize any negative effects on patent term.	w the holding of abandonment under 37 C	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to